

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/482,969	(01/12/2000	Norman C. Chan	Chan 11	7737
22442	7590	12/11/2002			
SHERIDAN		PC	EXAMINER		
1560 BROADWAY SUITE 1200				ANWAH, OLISA	
DENVER, C	O 80202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2645	
			DATE MAILED: 12/11/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

B

~		· N				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/482,969	CHAN, NORMAN C.				
Advisory Addion	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Olisa Anwah	2645				
The MAILING DATE of this commu	inication appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 05 December 2002 FA Therefore, further action by the applicant is final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed No Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	required to avoid abandonment of this be either: (1) a timely filed amendmentice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3)	s application. A proper reply to a ent which places the application in				
PEF	RIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or	b)]				
no event, however, will the statutory period						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CF fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determine fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the extension (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply receiptimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjust.	ining the period of extension and the correspor xpiration date of the shortened statutory perioc eived by the Office later than three months afte	I for reply originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension th	_ • •	•				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) Ithey raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) 🔲 they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the fo	llowing rejection(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	would be allowable if submitte .	d in a separate, timely filed amendment				
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)[application in condition for allowance	request for reconsideration has been because:	en considered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be co raised by the Examiner in the final re		DLELY to issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed explanation of how the new or amend	d amendment(s) a) \boxtimes will not be enterded claims would be rejected is provided.	red or b) will be entered and an ded below or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be	e) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-25</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration	on: <u>13</u> .					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>						
		ტ- Է. Olisa Anwah				
		Patent Examiner December 9, 2002				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)	Advisory Action	Part of Paper No. 9				





Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed changes in claim 8 recite "initial signal", however the pending claims only recite a signal. This raises a new issue and would require further consideration.

Continuation of 10. Other: Applicant states Goldberg does not play a message during the processing of the initially detected audible signal. However Goldberg states, "continues to analyze the monitored paramaters during the entire course of the call to assess whether the message was effectively delivered" (column 4, lines 57-60). Hence Goldberg teaches from the point of deliver to the completion of delivery of a prerecorded message, the parameters that were initially monitored continued to be monitored. Therefore Goldberg teaches playing a prerecorded greeting during a time period when when said call classifier is processing said initial audible signal.

FAN TSANG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600